Item SP04-24 Response Form

Title:	Dissolution of the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee and Establishment of the Trial Court Budget Working Group (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 6.11 and 6.620 and repeal and adopt rule 6.45)		
[Agree with proposed changes		
☐ Agree with proposed changes only if modified			
[Do not agree with proposed changes		
Comme	ents:		
Name:	Title:		
Organi	zation:		
Addres	ss:		
City, S	tate, Zip:		
Please	write or fax or respond using the Internet to:		
	ress: Ms. Romunda Price, Judicial Council, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102		
	(415) 865-7664 Attention: Romunda Price net: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/invitationstocomment		

DEADLINE FOR COMMENT: 5:00 P.M Tuesday, October 12, 2004

Your comments may be written on this *Response Form* or directly on the proposal or as a letter. If you are not commenting directly on this sheet please remember to attach it to your comments for identification purposes.

Title	Dissolution of the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee and Establishment of the Trial Court Budget Working Group (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 6.11 and 6.620 and repeal and adopt rule 6.45)
Summary	The establishment of a new working group will facilitate expeditious resolutions to critical trial court budget-related matters and provide broader representation of courts statewide.
Source	Finance Division, Administrative Office of the Courts
Staff	Tina Hansen Director, Finance Division 415-865-7951 tina.hansen@jud.ca.gov
Discussion	Since January 2002, the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee has advised the Judicial Council and the Administrative Director of the Courts on the preparation and development of, advocacy for, and implementation of the budget for the judicial branch and the relation of the budget to the strategic plan. This committee has not been involved in budget management, but has provided high-level tactical and process advice. The Trial Court Executive Management Budget Working Group was also established by the director of the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) Finance Division during this same time period for the purpose of fostering communications between trial court leaders and the AOC with regards to the trial court budget development process and priority needs, as well as to address regional and statewide budget-related challenges facing the courts. With both the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee and the Trial Court Executive Management Budget Working Group in existence, however, there has been some duplication of efforts. Due to the broader representation and trial court focus, the Trial Court Executive Management Budget Working Group has been better able to meet the needs of the courts and staff in obtaining trial court input as well as keeping the courts informed of budget management and policy dialogue and decisions. Thus, there is a need to establish a new working group to avoid overlap, facilitate expeditious resolutions on critical trial court budget-related matters, and provide for broader representation of courts statewide. The proposed amendment of rule 6.45 of the California Rules of Court would dissolve the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee and

establish the Trial Court Budget Working Group (working group) to advise the Administrative Director of the Courts on trial court budget issues. Membership of the working group would be inclusive of trial court judicial officers and trial court executive officers and may include others selected by the Administrative Director of the Courts.

In carrying out their responsibilities, the working group would meet not less than twice a year to consider the following:

- Provide recommendations on trial court budget priorities to guide the development of the budget for the fiscal year presently being developed.
- Make recommendations on the allocation of trial court funding, to include methodologies for allocating trial court budget augmentations and reductions.
- Make recommendations, as appropriate, on budget policies and procedures.
- Further participate in the budget development process as directed by the Administrative Director of the Courts.

The working group would include no more than 30 members and consist of trial court representatives appointed annually to reflect the diversity of state trial courts, to include:

- Urban, suburban, and rural courts;
- Size and adequacy of courts' budgets; and
- Number of judgeships.

The chairs of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Court Executives Advisory Committee would be considered as permanent appointments to the working group. In addition, four non-voting members would include the Director of the AOC Finance Division and each of the AOC regional administrative directors.

The Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Court Executives Advisory Committee may make membership recommendations to the Administrative Director of the Courts.

Rules 6.11 and 6.620 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, and rule 6.45 would be repealed and re-adopted, effective January 1, 2005, to read as follows:

Rule 6.11. Executive and Planning Committee

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & & \\ 3 & & (\mathbf{a}) - (\mathbf{d}) \end{array}$

- (e) [Planning] The committee oversees the development and implementation of the council's long-range strategic plan by:
 - (1) Recommending responses to forces and trends that are likely to affect the judiciary's operations and resources;
 - (2) Planning and conducting the council's annual strategic planning meeting and related efforts; and
 - (3) Collaborating with the Administrative Director of the Courts and the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee regarding proposed judicial branch budgets, proposed allocation schedules, and related budgetary issues.
- (f) [Budget] The committee must ensure that proposed judicial branch budgets and related budgetary issues are brought to the Judicial Council in a timely manner and in a format that permits the council to establish funding priorities in the context of the council's annual program objectives, statewide policies, and long-range strategic plan. The Administrative Director of the Courts and the Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee assists the Executive and Planning Committee in carrying out this function, as directed by the Executive and Planning Committee and as otherwise provided in these rules.
- (g) ***

Rule 6.45. Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee

(a) [Area of focus] The Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee advises the Judicial Council and the Administrative Director of the Courts on the preparation and development of, advocacy for, and implementation of the budget for the judicial branch and the relation of the budget to the strategic plan. The committee is not involved in budget management but provides high-level tactical and process advice. For purposes of this rule, the budget of the judicial branch consists of the budgets for the Supreme Court, the Courts of Appeal, the superior courts, the Judicial Council, and the Administrative

1 2		Office of the Courts. For purposes of this rule, the budget of the judicial branch does not include the budgets of the Commission on Judicial
3		Performance and the Habeas Corpus Resource Center.
4		Turisminiou uno mas compus resource como
5	(b)	[Membership] The committee consists of no more than nine members
6		appointed as follows:
7		
8		(1) One or two appellate court justices;
9		
10		(2) Three superior court judges, at least one of whom is from a court with
11		fewer than 20 judges and two of whom are either present or recent
12		presiding judges or judges with knowledge of, and experience and interest
13		in public finance;
14		•
15		(3) One appellate court clerk/administrator, who should not be from the same
16		district as any of the appellate court justices;
17		
18		(4) Three superior court executives none of whom should be from the same
19		court as any of the superior court judges.
20		court us unity of the superior court jumges.
21	(c)	[Membership recommendations] In addition to the procedure provided by
22	(0)	rule 6.32, the following groups may make recommendations to the Executive
23		and Planning Committee concerning membership:
24		and I taining Committee concerning memoersing.
25		(1) The Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee for the
26		appellate court justices and the appellate court clerk/administrator;
27		appenate court justices and the appenate court elens administrator;
28		(2) The Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee for the superior
29		
30		court judges; and
31		(2) The Conference of Court Executives for the symptom court executives
32		(3) The Conference of Court Executives for the superior court executives.
	(L)	Duties and managibilities. The committee analytics and adversary to
33	(a)	[Duties and responsibilities] The committee provides advice and advocacy to
34		ensure that the judicial branch budget as developed and adopted is consistent
35		with Judicial Council goals. In carrying out this duty, the committee must:
36		
37		(1) Provide recommendations to the Judicial Council on budget priorities to
38		guide the development of the budget for the fiscal year presently being
39		developed. The committee considers all relevant factors including:
40		
41		(A) Recommendations from other advisory committees on budget
42		priorities;

1					
2		(B) Recommendations from the trial and appellate courts;			
3					
4		(C) Input from the members of the public, including any designated trial			
5		court employee representative;			
6					
7		(D) The fiscal condition of the state;			
8					
9		(E) Other factors and trends affecting the judicial system and the state;			
10		and			
11					
12 13		(F) The progress of the courts and other judicial branch agencies in			
		meeting the goals established by the Judicial Council.			
14 15		(2) Make recommendations, as appropriate, on budget policies and			
15 16		procedures to the Judicial Council and the Administrative Office of the			
17		Courts.			
18		Courts.			
19		(3) Work with the Judicial Council and the Administrative Director of the			
20		Courts in advocating for the budget through the executive and legislative			
21		processes.			
22		P-00-0000			
23		(4) Further participate in the budget development process, as directed by the			
24		Administrative Director of the Courts.			
25					
26	Rule 6.4 :	5. Trial Court Budget Working Group			
27					
28		Administrative Director of the Courts must appoint annually a Trial Court			
29		get Working Group to advise the Director on trial court budget issues. The			
30		king group must include trial court judicial officers and trial court executive			
31		officers and may include others selected by the Administrative Director of the			
32	<u>Cou</u>	<u>irts.</u>			
33	D 1 ((
34	Rule 6.6 2	20. Public access to administrative decisions of trial courts			
35	()	* * *			
36	(a)	<u> </u>			
37	(1.)				
38	(b)	[Budget priorities] The Judicial Branch Budget Advisory Committee			
39 10		(JBBAC) Administrative Office of the Courts may request, on 30 court day's			
40 41		notice, recommendations from the trial courts concerning judicial branch			
41 42		budget priorities. JBBAC's The notice must state that if a trial court is to make			
+∠		recommendations to the committee, the trial court must also give notice, as			

provided in subdivision (g), that interested members of the public may send input to the <u>JBBAC</u> Administrative Office of the Courts.

(c) - (k) * * *